Finding Nemo

It’s an animated children's film produced by Pixar Animation Studios and winner of an Oscar Award. It’s dedicated to director and animation supervisor Glenn McQueen.

The movie is about a clown fish named Marlin who lives with his only son, Nemo. He does everything he can to protect his son. But like all the little fish of his age, Nemo dreams of exploring further. When Nemo disappears, Marlin goes out in search of her son, overcoming her fears.

Nemo is in the tank of a Dentist in Sydney. Marlin meets the cheerful Dori, a blue fish with memory problems and a big heart, she will become a good friend for him. Together they will face great dangers, but Dori will help Marlin overcome all her fears.

I recommend this film because it leaves a lot of learning for children and gives importance to values such as responsibility and teaches children the danger of not obeying their parents.

Andrea: This is a good piece of work and you have developed your writing task with a good sequence of ideas.

Few grammar and spelling mistakes though.

My concern is the use of new vocabulary you aded to your writing task:

Did you base your review in a previous work done? Or Did you use a reference source (dictionary) ?

|  | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Summary of movie and details supports reviewer’s opinion** | Writer gives a brief summary of film without disclosing ending; includes enough info to satisfy; details from the movie and evidence from summary strongly support reviewer’s opinion | Summary not long enough but does not give away ending; fewer details from the movie and evidence from summary to support reviewer’s opinion | Summary is too short and vague; few details from the movie and little evidence from summary to support reviewer’s opinion | Little or no significant summary; no details from the movie and little evidence from summary to support reviewer’s opinion |
| **Evaluation of various aspects of film**  | Contains sufficient and knowledgeable evaluation of many aspects of film to support reviewer’s opinion, including directing, acting cinematography, editing, scenery, special effects and soundtrack; references are made to other works of key players (actors, director) or other films in genre as appropriate | Contains some evaluation of many aspects of film to support reviewer’s opinion, including directing, acting cinematography, editing, scenery, special effects and soundtrack; references may be made to other works of key players (actors, director) or other films in genre as appropriate | Contains insufficient evaluation of many aspects of film to support reviewer’s opinion, including directing, acting cinematography, editing, scenery, special effects and soundtrack; no references made to other works of key players (actors, director) or other films in genre | Contains no significant evaluation of many aspects of film to support reviewer’s opinion |
| Final Evaluation | Review offers a clear conclusion or stylized evaluation of the movie with a recommendation for viewing to others  | Conclusion is satisfactory but is lacking of a clear final evaluation or style | A conclusion is present, but it’s weak | No conclusion is present |